REVIEWS



Global responses to the COVID-19 pandemic by recreational anglers: considerations for developing more resilient and sustainable fisheries

J. Robert Britton[®] · Adrian C. Pinder · Josep Alós[®] · Robert Arlinghaus[®] · Andy J. Danylchuk[®] · Wendy Edwards[®] · Kátia M. F. Freire[®] · Casper Gundelund[®] · Kieran Hyder[®] · Ivan Jarić[®] · Robert Lennox · Wolf-Christian Lewin · Abigail J. Lynch[®] · Stephen R. Midway[®] · Warren M. Potts[®] · Karina L. Ryan[®] · Christian Skov[®] · Harry V. Strehlow · Sean R. Tracey[®] · Jun-ichi Tsuboi[®] · Paul A. Venturelli[®] · Jessica L. Weir · Marc Simon Weltersbach[®] · Steven J. Cooke

Received: 24 July 2022 / Accepted: 1 May 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

Abstract The global COVID-19 pandemic resulted in many jurisdictions implementing orders restricting the movements of people to inhibit virus transmission, with recreational angling often either not permitted or access to fisheries and/or related infrastructure being prevented. Following the lifting of restrictions, initial angler surveys and licence sales

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s11160-023-09784-5.

J. R. Britton (⊠) · A. C. Pinder Department of Life and Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Bournemouth University, Poole BH12 5BB, UK e-mail: rbritton@bournemouth.ac.uk

J. Alós

Instituto Mediterráneo de Estudios Avanzados, IMEDEA (CSIC–UIB), Esporles, Spain

R. Arlinghaus

Department of Fish Biology, Fisheries and Aquaculture, Leibniz Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries, Müggelseedamm 310, 12587 Berlin, Germany

R. Arlinghaus

Division of Integrative Fisheries Management, Faculty of Life Sciences, Humboldt-Univesität zu Berlin, Invalidenstrasse 42, 10115 Berlin, Germany

A. J. Danylchuk

Department of Environmental Conservation, University of Massachusetts Amherst, Amherst, MA 01003, USA

suggested increased participation and effort, and altered angler demographics, but with evidence remaining limited. Here, we overcome this evidence gap by identifying temporal changes in angling interest, licence sales, and angling effort in world regions by comparing data in the 'pre-pandemic' (up to and including 2019); 'acute pandemic' (2020) and 'COVID-acclimated' (2021) periods. We then identified how changes can inform the development of more resilient and sustainable recreational fisheries.

W. Edwards · K. Hyder

Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science (Cefas), Pakefield Road, Lowestoft NR33 0HT, Suffolk, UK

K. M. F. Freire

Department of Fisheries Engineering and Aquaculture, Universidade Federal de Sergipe, Cidade Universitária Prof. José Aloísio de Campos, Rua Mal. Rondon S/N, Jardim Rosa Elze São Cristóvão, Sergipe CEP 49100-000, Brazil

C. Gundelund · C. Skov

Section of Freshwater Fisheries and Ecology, Technical University of Denmark, DTU Aqua, 8600 Silkeborg, Denmark

K. Hyder School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Norwich NR4 7TJ, Norfolk, UK Interest in angling (measured here as angling-related internet search term volumes) increased substantially in all regions during 2020. Patterns in licence sales revealed marked increases in some countries during 2020 but not in others. Where licence sales increased, this was rarely sustained in 2021; where there were declines, these related to fewer tourist anglers due to movement restrictions. Data from most countries indicated a younger demographic of people who participated in angling in 2020, including in urban areas, but this was not sustained in 2021. These short-lived changes in recreational angling indicate efforts to retain younger anglers could increase overall participation levels, where efforts can target education in appropriate angling practices and create more urban angling opportunities. These efforts would then provide recreational fisheries with greater resilience to cope with future global crises, including facilitating the ability of people to access angling opportunities during periods of high societal stress.

Keywords Angling effort · Angling licence · Angler demographics · Culturomics · COVID-19 lockdown

I. Jarić

Biology Centre of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Institute of Hydrobiology, Na Sádkách 702/7, 37005 České Budějovice, Czech Republic

I. Jarić

Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, AgroParisTech, Ecologie Systématique Evolution, 12 Rue 128, 91190 Gif-Sur-Yvette, France

R. Lennox

Norwegian Institute for Nature Research and at the Laboratory for Freshwater Ecology, Oslo, Norway

W.-C. Lewin · H. V. Strehlow · M. S. Weltersbach Thünen Institute of Baltic Sea Fisheries, Alter Hafen Süd 2, 18069 Rostock, Germany

A. J. Lynch

U.S. Geological Survey, National Climate Adaptation Science Center, 12201 Sunrise Valley Drive MS 516, Reston, VA 20192, USA e-mail: ajlynch@usgs.gov

S. R. Midway

Department of Oceanography and Coastal Sciences, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA

Introduction

Recreational fishing is a highly popular leisure activity, with approximately 10% of the global population participating (Arlinghaus and Cooke 2009; Arlinghaus et al. 2019). Rod and line fishing (i.e. angling) is the most common form of recreational fishing, but other methods including spear fishing are also widespread. Motivations for recreational angling are diverse, but can be psychological (e.g., emotional benefits of being in the outdoors), personal challengerelated (e.g. trying to catch a 'trophy' sized fish), social (e.g. interacting with other anglers), competitive (e.g. tournament fishing) and nutritional (capturing fish for food) (Griffiths et al. 2017; Cooke et al. 2018; Nolan et al. 2019). Recreational angling generally makes important contributions to local and national economies (Parkkila et al. 2010). For example, recreational angling activities have been estimated to generate up to US\$1.5B per annum in the Laurentian Great Lakes region (Lynch et al. 2016). In Europe, marine recreational fishers spend €5.9B each

W. M. Potts

Department of Ichthyology and Fisheries Science, Rhodes University, P.O. Box 94, Makhanda 6140, South Africa

K. L. Ryan

Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development, Western Australian Fisheries and Marine Research Laboratories, 39 Northside Drive, Hillarys, WA 6025, Australia

S. R. Tracey Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania, Private Bag 49, Hobart7001, TAS, Australia

S. R. Tracey

Centre For Marine Socioecology, University of Tasmania, Private Bag 49, Hobart7001, TAS, Australia

J. Tsuboi

Research Center for Freshwater Fisheries, Japan Fish Res and Education Agency, Nikko 321-1661, Japan e-mail: tsuboi118@affrc.go.jp

P. A. Venturelli · J. L. Weir Department of Biology, Ball State University, Muncie, IN 47304, USA

S. J. Cooke

Fish Ecology and Conservation Physiology Laboratory, Department of Biology and Institute of Environmental and Interdisciplinary Science, Carleton University, 1125 Colonel By Dr., Ottawa, ON K1S 5B6, Canada year (Hyder et al. 2018), generating a total economic impact of $\notin 10.5B$ (Hyder et al. 2017). Licence and permit sales also generate revenues that regulatory authorities often use to finance recreational angling and fish conservation programmes (Tufts et al. 2015).

The global COVID-19 pandemic resulted in many nations and jurisdictions implementing lockdown orders to limit the movements of people and inhibit virus transmission. As a result, over a third of the global population was under some form of restrictions in April 2020 (Koh et al. 2020). These restrictions had profound impacts on the world economy (Mandel and Veetil 2020), with many workers losing their employment or wages; of those remaining in employment, many had to work from home. Recreational angling during restricted periods was often either not permitted or public access to fisheries was initially highly restricted (Midway et al. 2021). In countries and jurisdictions where recreational angling was allowed to continue in some form (but with some restrictions, such as social distancing; Paradis et al. 2021), initial angler surveys and licence sales often suggested increased participation rates (e.g. Guerra-Marrero et al. 2021; Midway et al. 2021), including first time anglers and anglers resuming after periods of inactivity (Howarth et al. 2021), and was likely related to recreational angling being considered a COVID-19 safe activity ('social fishtancing'; Midway et al. 2021).

In addition to increased participation rates during COVID-19, there is some evidence of important changes in angler demographics and behaviours. Danish anglers who were active in restriction periods were more likely to be younger, less experienced, and more urban than prior to the pandemic (Gundelund and Skov 2021). While the number of fishing trips apparently did not increase from previous years, patterns in fishing effort shifted from weekend to weekday trips and, although catch rates were lower, more fish were retained (Gundelund and Skov 2021). Conversely, during restriction periods in Western Australia, a lower proportion of urban (metropolitan) boat anglers were active compared to regional anglers and, of those who were active, their effort was lower than before the pandemic (Ryan et al. 2021). In a global assessment of the impact of COVID-19 on marine recreational fisheries, Pita et al. (2021) reported an overall decline in activity, with negative impacts on the blue economy as well as fisher health and well-being due to the loss of fishing opportunities. Thus, whilst there were pandemic-driven changes in recreational angling participation rates, activity, and angler behaviours, these changes differed between countries and regions, and across recreational fishing methods. However, there are also substantial knowledge gaps in how recreational anglers responded to these changes in personal freedoms at global scales, despite this information being of potentially high value to fishery managers and policy makers for optimizing management and developing strategies for confronting this and other similar crises (Howarth et al. 2021).

This study aims to synthesise the temporal changes in recreational angling at global scales that relate to COVID-19 restrictions in terms of: (i) patterns of interest in recreational angling, (ii) angling licence sales, and (iii) angler participation and effort. We use these syntheses to consider how these patterns can inform the development of more resilient and sustainable recreational fisheries, particularly during future periods of global shocks (e.g. pandemics, wars). To facilitate comparisons of data, the following terms are used to define the time periods in the study and applied where possible: 'pre-pandemic period' (up to and including 2019), 'acute pandemic period' (2020), and 'COVID-acclimated period' (2021).

Methods

Interest in recreational angling: angling culturomics

We used a culturomics approach to identify temporal and spatial patterns in the global interest in recreational angling. Culturomics represents the study of human culture through the quantitative analysis of large bodies of digital data (Michel et al. 2011). It has been applied to study contemporary conservation issues through the perspective of human-nature interactions (Ladle et al. 2016; Jarić et al. 2020a, 2021). Along with 'internet ecology' (otherwise referred to as 'iEcology'; Jarić et al. 2020b), culturomics has already been used to measure the various activities of anglers (Wilde and Pope 2013; Giovos et al. 2018; Monkman et al. 2018a,b; Sbragaglia et al. 2021) and to deduce whether fisheries are sustainable (e.g. McClenachan 2009; Jiménez-Alvarado et al. 2019). Here, we used culturomic analyses to assess global changes in the volume of internet search terms related to angling activity, and then evaluated these changes regionally (USA, United Kingdom, and Australia; see Supplementary Information, Methods: Section SM1 for more detailed information on methods). We then analysed changes in the volume of internet search terms relating to angler target species, where species-specific searches were restricted to those regions in which that species was present and targeted by anglers. Data were mined for the 'pre-pandemic period' (2017–2019), 'acute pandemic period' (2020), and 'COVID-acclimated period' (2021; Section SM1).

Angling licence sale data

As regulation of recreational angling often includes some form of licensing or permit system that requires prior purchase, usually from a regulatory authority (Potts et al. 2020), data on licence sale numbers and their timing were used to measure the extensive margin of angling participation. We assumed licence purchase indicated at least an intent to undertake an angling event in the near future. It was evident that (i) licence systems were not consistent between countries (e.g. variation in licence type: contrasting availability of annual, weekly and daily licences; differences in the accessibility of licence data); and (ii) there were considerable spatial differences over whether recreational angling was possible during lockdown periods and in the immediate aftermath of their lifting. Thus, the systematic mapping of licence sales to COVID-19 restriction periods could not be attempted. Instead, licence sale data are presented for different countries grouped by continent. In all cases, licence sale data were collated from the controlling regulatory authorities of each country that is used, with these data only available from a limited number of regulators. Correspondingly, licence sale data from a specific country might not necessarily represent the licence sale trends more widely for that continent or region.

Angling participation and effort

We used four data sources to assess changes in angling participation and effort between the 'prepandemic period', 'acute pandemic period' and 'COVID-acclimated period'. The first data source was Fishbrain (www.fishbrain.com), a commercial smart-phone application (hereafter referred to as 'app') with > 14 M global users in 2022 who digitally log the details of their fishing events and catches. This generates fine-scale spatiotemporal data over large areas, so providing a de facto source of angling data to inform fisheries management (Venturelli et al. 2017; Cooke et al. 2021; Skov et al. 2021). A machine-learning algorithm was applied to weekly catch time and location data from Europe, North America, and Oceania (Australasia, Melanesia, Micronesia, and Polynesia) between 1 January 2015 and 1 November 2021 (see Supplementary Information, Methods: Section SM2 for specific details on the methodology used). The extracted data enabled the following metrics to be calculated for the three pandemic periods: total catches logged per week (proxy for relative fishing effort), the number of unique users who logged at least one fish per week (proxy for relative individual effort), the number of new users per week (proxy for the relative number of individuals taking up fishing for the first time), and the number of users per week who logged a catch after not logging one for at least one year (proxy for the relative number of individuals returning to fishing).

The second data source was based on data from sea angling in the United Kingdom, where approximately 2% of adults participate in this fishery (Armstrong et al. 2013). With no sea angling licence requirement, a sea angling diary scheme was implemented in 2016 to report catch data, which captures data on angler participation, effort, and catch (Hyder et al. 2020, 2021). To date, the scheme has provided catch data from over 5000 anglers and 21,600 h of angling activity since 2016 (Hyder et al. 2020, 2021). These data were used to extract relevant metrics on angling participation and effort in the three pandemic periods.

The third data source was based in Germany, where a nation-wide, representative computerassisted telephone interview (CATI) screening survey was conducted between October 2020 and April 2021. This survey was designed to determine changes in angling activities and effort during COVID-related restrictions (Supplementary Materials: Methods, Section SM3, for detailed methodology). The survey collated general data on the proportions, socio-demographics, and heterogeneity of anglers in the German population, providing insights into changes in angling effort during restricted periods, including whether effort differed between inland and marine recreational fisheries and the effect of age, avidity, and angling skills (Section SM3).

The final data source was based in Denmark, where the angling citizen science platform 'Fangstjournalen' was used (Gundelund and Skov 2021). Extracting data from this platform on angler traits of age, angling experience, and importance of angling as a hobby enabled patterns to be compared between the three pandemic periods.

Results

Interest in recreational angling: angling culturomics

Overall, compared to the pre-pandemic period, there were considerable increases in global internet search volumes for all of the terms that were used as indicators of angling activity during the acute pandemic period (2020), before they returned to pre-pandemic levels in the COVID-acclimated period of 2021 (Fig. 1). This pattern was also evident in the USA and UK, where there was a clear increase in mid-2020 that generally coincided with the onset of the northern summer and the end of the initial periods of restricted periods in both countries. However, this pattern was less evident in Australia, with fewer changes in the internet search volumes in 2020, potentially due to the "Black Summer" bushfires in eastern Australia. Where there were increases, these were later in 2020, likely relating to seasonal differences between the northern and southern hemispheres (Fig. 1). There were similar increases in the volume of internet search terms for 11 of the 12 angler target species analysed, with the only exception being the Australian Murray cod, Maccullochella peelii, because fishing was not permitted for up to 6 months from July to December 2020 (Fig. 2, Fig. S1). With most species being focused in the northern hemisphere, peaks in searches again occurred towards the middle of 2020 (i.e. summer), but were rarely sustained in 2021.



Fig. 1 Time series of relative search volumes for the terms "fishing licence", "fishing rod", "fishing reel", "fishing bait" and "fishing spots", based on (from left to right) global Google Trends data, as well as data for the United States, United Kingdom and Australia. Full and dashed red lines represent weekly

values for 2020 and 2021 respectively, while blue lines and grey shading represent median values and the range of values for the years 2017–2019 respectively. Data were fitted with LOESS smoothing (f=0.2). Please note the different scales of the y-axes



Fig. 2 Time series of relative search volumes for largemouth bass, northern pike and trout in the United States, common carp and sea bass in the United Kingdom, and Murray cod in Australia, based on Google Trends data. Full and dashed red lines represent weekly values for 2020 and 2021 respectively,

Angling licence sale data

Some, but not all, countries revealed substantially increased licence sales in 2020 versus other years (Table 1). In the six countries reporting increases in 2020, these were only sustained in two countries in 2021 (Table 1). Declines in licence sales tended to relate to the loss of domestic and/or international tourism.

Europe

In Denmark, annual recreational fishing licence sales by month since 2018 revealed some marked increases in the two months following a lockdown in March 2020, but this was not sustained in the longer-term, with 2021 sales generally being back to pre-pandemic levels (Fig. S2). Weekly licence sales in the same period showed an opposite effect, with sales crashing following the 2020 lockdown (Fig. S2a), presumably because these mandatory licences are mainly purchased by non-Danish tourists who were unable to travel and/or legally enter Denmark. In England, where a licence is only needed to fish in inland waters with rod and line, COVID-19 restrictions in 2020 resulted in no angling in April, partial restrictions

while blue lines and grey shading represent median values and the range of values for the years 2017–2019 respectively. Data were fitted with LOESS smoothing (f=0.2). Please note the different scales of the y-axes

from May to July and September to December, and no restrictions in August. There were also no restrictions in 2021. Licence sales across all types increased by 18% in 2020 compared to 2019, especially from May to July, but returned to 2019 levels in 2021 (Fig. S3). Licence sale data from Germany showed inconsistent patterns among federal states (Supplementary materials, Results: Section SR1), with sales varying little in Bavaria with no COVID-19 alteration in 2020 (Section SR1), whereas memberships of the state angler association of Brandenburg increased by approximately 7% in 2020, although memberships were already increasing prior to the COVID period (Section SR1). Coastal fishing licences in Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania, which strongly depend on domestic tourists (Arlinghaus et al. 2021), showed clear evidence of declining sales in 2020 that continued in 2021. However, licence sales in most German federal states require one-time completion and assessment of a 30-h course (von Lukowicz 1998), so shortterm changes in licence sales are unlikely. In Norway, where licences are mandatory for riverine fishing for anadromous salmonids, no changes in licence sales in 2020 were apparent relative to the long-term pattern of sales (Fig. S4).

 Table 1
 Overview of patterns in recreational fishing licence sales before and during the COVID-19 pandemic, where 'Increase in 2020' relates to where sales figures indicate

increased licence sales in 2020 compared to previous years, 'Sustained increase in 2021' refers to whether any increased licence sales in 2020 were sustained, and n/a = not applicable

Continent	Country	Region/ State	Licence type	Licence dura- tion	Years available	Increase in 2020	Sustained increase in 2021
Europe	Denmark	n/a	Mandatory freshwater/ marine	Annual 7 day	2018–2021 2018–2021	Yes No (decrease during spring lock- down)	No
	England	n/a	Freshwater	All	2017-2021	Yes	No
	Germany	Saxony	Freshwater	Annual	1993–2021	Yes	Yes (but positive trend already prior to 2020)
	Germany	Bavaria	Freshwater	Annual	2001-2020	No	No
	Germany	Brandenburg	Freshwater	Annual	1990–2020	Yes	Yes (but positive trend already prior to 2020)
	Germany	Mecklenburg- Western Pomerania	Coast	Annual	1990–2021	No	No
	Norway	n/a	River	Annual	2005-2020	No	n/a
Asia	Japan	Nationwide	Freshwater	All	2020-2021	No	n/a
Oceania	Australia	New South Wales	General	3 day, 28 day, 1 year, 3 year	2010–2021 (annual)	Yes	n/a
		Northern Ter- ritory	No licence	-	-	-	_
		Queensland	No licence	_	_	_	-
		South Aus- tralia	Impoundments only				
		Tasmania	Fishery/spe- cies	Annual	1995–2021 (annual)	No	n/a
		Victoria	General	48-h, 3 day, 28 day, 1 year, 3 year	2010–2021 (annual)	No	n/a
		Western Aus- tralia	Fishery/spe- cies	Annual	2010–2021 (monthly)	Yes	No
North America	Canada	Ontario	All	Annual	2012-2020	No ^a	n/a
South America	Brazil	Nationwide	All	Annual	2010–2021 (annual and monthly, with inter- ruption)	No	n/a
Africa	South Africa		Marine	Annual	2010-2020	No	n/a

^aNote that for Ontario, resident fishing licence sales increased, but non-resident fishing licence sales decreased due to travel restrictions, hence the overall decrease

The Americas

Canadian licence data were available for the province of Ontario (bordering USA and the Great Lakes), with sale data categorised by angler origin (Table S1). The main pattern detected in these data was a pronounced reduction in sales to non-resident (i.e. international) anglers between 2019 and 2020

(-89%), whereas licence sales to Ontario anglers increased by 10% in the same period, suggesting increased activity by anglers who had no option but to fish locally (Table S1). In Brazil, there is a requirement to purchase an annual national fishing licence for both marine and freshwater angling, with state licences also often being required (Freire et al. 2012). Due to management issues in Brazilian recreational fisheries (Freire et al. 2021), licence sale data were limited to 2010–2014 and then June 2020–July 2021. These data suggested a relative decrease in licence sales during the 2020 pandemic period, followed by increases in July 2021 (Fig. S5).

Africa

Levels of recreational angling participation in South Africa are relatively high versus other emerging economy nations (Potts et al. 2021) and, although participation and effort are not measured directly, marine recreational anglers must purchase an annual licence. Licence sale data by month suggested that sales increased by 30% between May 2020 and April 2021 versus these periods between 2015 and 2019, with peak sales in December 2020 and January 2021 (Fig. S6). While these increases were considered as being related to the COVID-19 pandemic, they might not necessarily reflect increased angling activity. For example, while recreational angling was allowed from beaches from June 2020, other activities (e.g., surfing, hiking) were not allowed until September 2020 and so some people circumvented this prohibition on non-angling activities by purchasing angling licences and carrying fishing rods on the beach (but not then fishing). Licence sales in July 2020 were low relative to 2015-2019 due to cancelled school holidays (Fig. S6). Non-angling beach activities were then banned again in December 2020 and January 2021 (summer vacation period) and when the data from these months were omitted, the average sales between 2020 and 2021 were only 2% higher than between 2015 and 2019.

Australia

Licence requirements vary between Australian states and territories, with some not requiring licence purchase and others offering licences of up to three years duration, which makes general patterns more difficult to decipher (Table 1). Licence sales (including renewals and new licences) showed evidence of changes relating to the COVID-19 pandemic with up to an 11% increase from 2019/20 to 2020/21, for example 429,177-474,517 (+11%) in New South Wales, 219,896–241,773 (+10%) in Western Australia (WA) and 226,030-250,760 (+11%) in Victoria. Monthly licence sales in WA suggested increased fishing activity following the commencement of the COVID-19 pandemic. Although there were no extended lockdowns, an extended border closure prevented visitors from entering the state, with residents encouraged to "holiday at home". Anecdotally, holiday accommodation and sales of boats and fishing equipment were in high demand. Licence sales (Recreational Boat Fishing and Rock Lobster) were above the 10-year median for most months from June 2020 and in 2021 (Fig. S7).

Asia

A COVID-19 state of emergency was initially declared across 23 inland fisheries cooperatives around Tokyo and Osaka (Japan) in April and May 2020. The median ratio of sales of recreational fishing permits relative to April and May 2019 was only 78%, which was lower than the median of 93% for the 196 fisheries cooperatives in other regions of the country. This result indicates reduced sales, likely because restrictions of the movement of people prevented most, if not all, angling activities. However, the 2020 Japanese domestic shipment value of recreational fishing equipment, in particular marine shore fishing equipment, was the highest recorded since 2010. A small number of the inland fisheries cooperatives either postponed their opening dates of the ayu Plecoglossus altivelis 2020 fishing season, or did not open at all. This resulted in the ayu fishing season starting on 1 July 2020, the period just following the ending of the declaration of the state of emergency that had been in place due to COVID-19.

Angling participation and effort

Spatiotemporal changes in effort inferred from the smartphone app 'Fishbrain'

All metrics derived from the smartphone app 'Fishbrain' in Europe, North America, and Oceania were Fig. 3 Weekly catches of all marine and freshwater species \blacktriangleright by continent reported via the Fishbrain smartphone app from 1 January 2015 to 31 October 2021. The shaded area in each panel identifies the collective pattern of the majority of data points, black points are anomailies that do not follow this pattern (see Section SM2 for details), and the vertical black lines signify when the acute pandemic period commenced

trending upward from 2015 to 2019 (e.g., Fig. 3, Fig. S8), which parallels an increase in the popularity of the app. Individual effort, overall effort, and both new and returning anglers were muted or declined in Oceania and, to a lesser extent, Europe during both the acute and COVID-19 acclimation periods (Fig. 3, Fig. S8). These trends are consistent with bans or limits on recreational fishing and/or both domestic and intercontinental travel. Indeed, Australia's border closure prevented recreational fishing by international tourists, which represented 6% of the reported Fishbrain catches from this region in 2019. In contrast to Oceania and Europe, individual effort, overall effort, and both new and returning anglers all increased in North America (Fig. 3, Fig. S8). Increases were strongest in 2020, and either weakened or disappeared in 2021.

Changes in UK sea angling effort

Sea angling effort was reduced in the lockdown period of spring 2020 versus 2019 in the UK, which then increased to above 2019 levels in July to September 2020 as restrictions relaxed, and then returned to similar levels from October 2020 (Hook et al. 2022). The anglers also travelled less far to fish in 2020 than 2019 (Hook et al. 2022). A sub-set of these anglers were also surveyed and revealed reductions in their expenditures, physical activity, and well-being related to sea angling during the acute pandemic period (Hook et al. 2022).

Changes in angling effort in Germany

Of the 2,792 anglers interviewed in the German survey (Supplementary Materials Results: SR2), ~60% had fished during the acute pandemic period, with most inland anglers fishing as frequently as in the pre-pandemic period. Only 21% of the inland anglers fished more than usual. Although inland anglers generally continued their fishing activities, > 50% of those fishing in marine waters of



the Baltic and North Sea reduced or stopped fishing during the acute pandemic period (Fig. 4), most likely due to travel restrictions and many of



Angling frequency inland waters

Fig. 4 Angling frequencies in German inland and marine (Baltic Sea and North Sea) waters during the COVID-19 restriction period from March to May 2020 as stated by participants of the telephone survey (weighted data)

these anglers being domestic tourists (Strehlow et al. 2012; Lewin et al. 2021). This result agreed with the reduced coastal fishing licences sold for the state of Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania (Section SR2), suggesting that German tourism-based marine recreational fisheries suffered strongly from COVID-19 related declines. Comparisons of anglers in the urban federal state Berlin and anglers from the surrounding, more rural federal state Brandenburg revealed that most anglers in both states fished as usual during restrictions in the acute period, with no significant differences versus the pre-pandemic period (Section SR2). However, angler demographics shifted towards younger and more avid/ specialised anglers in restricted periods (Section SR2). Anglers were also more likely to continue fishing in restricted periods if they were members of angling clubs, and these continuing anglers were also more likely to consider their angling skills as above average.

Angling citizen science platform in Denmark

Comparisons of Danish angler traits (age, angling experience, and importance of angling as a hobby) in periods of pre-pandemic (2018, 2019), acute pandemic (2020) and COVID-acclimated (2021) revealed that angling participants in 2020 were younger, less experienced, and more urban-based than participants in 2018 and 2019, but with anglers in spring 2021 being more similar to pre-pandemic participants (Figs. S9, S10). Thus, the 2020 shifts in angler characteristics represented a short-term response to the COVID-19 restrictions.

Discussion

There were some strong pandemic-related changes in the behaviour of individual anglers and overall patterns of interest, effort, and engagement, with trends including a peak in online interest in recreational angling in 2020, shifts in angler behaviours and demographics, and some changes in licence sales. While these trends varied by region, they generally reflected country-specific COVID-19 restrictions and long-term patterns of sales and activity (e.g., loss of international anglers, loss of domestic travellers, and increased numbers of younger anglers in 2020). There is greater uncertainty as to whether local effort changed (although most angling effort was likely to be more locally based due to travel restrictions), but more evidence indicated that changes were highly transitory and quickly reversed by 2021.

There were some context dependencies in these results and evaluations at country and regional levels. In entirety, however, we argue that they provide a series of considerations that can contribute to the development of more resilient, responsible, and sustainable recreational fisheries (Cooke et al. 2019). Of course, there is high uncertainty regarding the COVID-acclimated period and what it will mean for recreational anglers and fisheries management. Nonetheless, we also suggest that it is important to develop a suite of considerations that are relevant to various actors who are involved in the recreational fishing sector including anglers, the industry (including outfitters and guides, manufacturers, and popular media), scientists, fisheries managers and regulators, and conservation bodies. We acknowledge, however, that transitions to periods of living with COVID-19 will vary extensively based on geopolitical, cultural, and economic factors with, for example, access to vaccines and health care remaining more limited in the global south. Correspondingly, some of our considerations outlined below might not be universally applicable.

Expand licensing programmes where relevant: Angling licence regulations vary across the world, with many regions where recreational licence programmes do not exist (Bower et al. 2020). Moreover, even where they do exist, sale data are often unavailable or unreliable. We thus suggest that jurisdictions without licensing should consider instituting (or resuming) a licence system to better understand and manage recreational fisheries in the post COVIDacclimation period, given licences (including permits or registries) provide a measure of participation and potential fishing effort. Licences also provide a sampling frame for gathering data that can inform catch, social and economic fishery objectives, which collectively support access-rights and allocation to shared fishery resources, and also provide a means for communicating regulations and services, and potential revenue to improve recreational fishing. Many regions and jurisdictions with licence programmes invest a proportion of the associated revenue into recreational fisheries management (Organ et al. 2012; Peterson and Nelson 2017; Skov et al. 2020; Sect. 3). However, compliance with licence requirements can be low (e.g. Spain: Gordoa et al. (2019); Brazil: Freire and Rocha (2021)). Broader recreational fisheries governance, including licensing, might improve compliance (Arlinghaus et al. 2019; Potts et al. 2020).

Normalise the use of culturomics to assess trends in fishing interest: Culturomics are increasingly being used in conservation and natural resource management (Ladle et al. 2016) and are being embraced for aquatic issues (Jarić et al. 2020a,b). Normalising their use in recreational fisheries management should help to provide more timely data on regional, national and/ or global trends (Lennox et al. 2022). These tools open up a wide range of opportunities for acquiring new types of data on angling activities, providing managers with data on effort and catches that were previously unavailable (Jarić et al. 2021; Lennox et al. 2022), although there is a concomitant need to determine the accuracy and precision of the patterns they show more generally, such as whether increased search volumes translate into elevated angling effort.

Develop strategies for angler retention and education on responsible fishing practices: The pandemic resulted in many new and reactivated anglers in some countries, so attempts to retain these anglers are important in COVID-acclimation periods. Creating opportunities for new anglers to integrate into recreational fishing communities (e.g., links to angling clubs, organisations, and via social media) should help retention, while also serving as a vehicle for instilling responsible fishing practices and knowledge transfer related to regulations and other management tools. Indeed, anglers who were associated with angling clubs in Germany remained most active during periods of movement restrictions (see "Angling licence sale data" section).

New and reactivated anglers may be less likely to befamiliar with responsbile fisheries practices, local regulations, or consumption advisories, especially as regulations tend to be complex and open to interpretation. From basic fish identification to understanding the complexities of regulations, there is a need to ensure that new anglers understand how to comply with fisheries regulations. For example, Page and Radomski (2006) found compliance with recreational fishing regulations lowest among novice anglers in Minnesota, USA. New anglers may also be unfamiliar with best handling practices (Brownscombe et al. 2017), which are intended to minimise welfare impacts and post-release mortality. New anglers may also be unfamiliar with the complexities of using live baitfish and the risks associated with the inter-basin transport and release of baitfish or other live bait (e.g., Drake and Mandrak 2014; Lewin et al. 2019). These challenges can be overcome via education and outreach initiatives, including mandatory courses associated with licensing (Sexton 2021), workshops (e.g., Delle Palme et al. 2016), social movements (e.g., Keep Fish Wet; Danylchuk et al. 2018), and a full behavioural strategy (Mannheim et al. 2018). There are also opportunities to consider how nudges (Mackay et al. 2018) and sanctioning (Guckian et al. 2018) could result in more responsible recreational fishing practices.

Create more urban fishing opportunities: There was increased use of urban angling opportunities in some regions as COVID-19 movement restrictions were lifted, especially among younger anglers. Increased urbanisation (United Nations 2018) more generally also means that urban fishing is a growth opportunity in many jurisdictions (Arlinghaus and Cooke 2009; McPhee 2017). As not all individuals have access to private, motorised transportation, access to fishing close to home is an equity issue. While urban fisheries are not new, we emphasise here that they were timely given increased interest in fishing during the acute pandemic period, and other events in future that could result in similar heightened interest in urban fishing. Fishing programs in urban areas can also be used to both recruit and retain urban anglers (Balsman and Shoup 2008). The extent of urban angling opportunities available in Berlin, Germany, meant that anglers there were able to engage in recreational angling in a similar manner to those in the surrounding rural areas. Thus, considering urban fishery resources within long-term strategies to increase angling participation is important, especially as urban anglers tend to be more avid and committed than rural anglers (Arlinghaus and Mehner 2004).

Consider how emerging recreational fishing can be used to support livelihoods: Recreational fishing generates substantial economic benefits that support regional economies and individual livelihoods. Some COVID-19 pandemic restrictions (e.g., limits to shopping at fishing tackle stores, limits on access to some fishing sites, prohibition of guiding or competitive events, limits on cross-jurisdiction tourism) had negative impacts on the recreational fishing industry and its members, although some sectors might have boomed (e.g. online stores). As jurisdictions transition to COVID-acclimation periods, consideration is needed to ensure that relevant sectors can remain vibrant and support livelihoods while also ensuring angling participants can continue to access the services that they require. Achieving this might need policy adaptation through promotion of a "whole of government" approach, and the development of recreational fisheries-directed facilities and services (Potts et al. 2022). Moreover, benefits from recreational fishing in a given area should generate benefits for that area rather than having them accrue to interests located elsewhere (e.g., in a different community, different state or even different country; Bower et al. 2014; Barnett et al. 2016; Butler et al. 2020). Mapping this economic benefit back to data on angler engagement (e.g., licence and permit sales) is important to understand the relationship between angling economics and angler engagement.

Create more opportunities for angler citizen science and co-management: App-based effort and harvest data became the sole data source in many regions during the pandemic, reflecting the growing number of ways anglers can engage in fisheries assessment and management that were also apparent pre-pandemic. For example, various citizen science programs such as angler diaries (Cooke et al. 2000; Hyder et al. 2020; 2021) and phone-based angler apps (Venturelli et al. 2017) were already providing opportunities for anglers to share their observations with fisheries managers (e.g., on stock assessment and angler behaviour), and then provided data during the pandemic (e.g., Gundelund et al. 2021b, 2022; Skov et al. 2021). There is a general need for and great potential in citizen science programmes that also invite and involve recreational fishers in co-management initiatives (Arlinghaus et al. 2019). Expanding such efforts in the case of future pandemics and to support modern fisheries management is timely. The use of remote methods to capture information and data was also timely given the pandemic impacted efforts to engage anglers in fisheries management activities as face-toface meetings were paused. Reinstating such efforts rapidly to create pathways for angler engagement and consultation in fisheries management processes will be important to ensure diverse perspectives are considered (e.g. those unwilling or unable to engage with App-based technologies) (Elmer et al. 2017), plus these efforts build support and understanding for fisheries management actions (Reed 2008; Dedual et al. 2013). When these processes are integrated with apps and citizen science platforms, there are substantial opportunities for two-way communication between anglers and managers (Venturelli et al. 2017).

Conclusions

The global COVID-19 pandemic altered many aspects of human society, especially in relation to freedoms

and economic activity (from local to global levels). We have demonstrated here that some considerable changes in angling interest, behaviour and effort also occurred in this period. While acknowledging that the licence and effort data were imperfect, we argue these data emphasise the importance of overcoming these imperfections through improved data collection in recreational fisheries more generally. Indeed, the acknowledgement that there remain substantial gaps in our understanding of how recreational anglers respond to changes in social circumstances more generally is a major step forward in understanding how to overcome these issues. The collated information did demonstrate that, whilst there was some high spatial variability in some results, there were some distinct patterns in both licence sales and effort, including the loss of tourist anglers. Furthermore, people also had to fish more locally, with these people often being younger than most anglers who fished prior to the pandemic. In some regions, however, we have also seen a rapid transition back to pre-pandemic conditions, suggesting that the changes were not sustained. Thus, despite the apparent attractiveness of recreational angling during periods of high individual and societal stress, the retention of new anglers remains elusive. Managers and policymakers can use the data and considerations here to ensure that their fisheries remain accessible for the benefit of anglers of all ages and abilities, while ensuring that these fisheries can be used to help recruit and retain new anglers-irrespective of the overriding economic and public health conditions.

Acknowledgements We thank Derek Landry from the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry for furnishing us with data on fishing licence sales in Ontario. We also thank Jens Felix (Angler Association of Saxony, Germany), Sebastian Hanfland (State Fisheries Association of Bavaria, Germany), Marcel Weihenhahn (State Angler Association of Brandenburg, Germany), Thomas Richter und Thomas Schaarschmidt (LALFF Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania, Germany) for providing data on licence sales and memberships and Dieter Kömle for additional help. We thank the South African Department of Environment, Forestry and Fisheries for providing the marine angling licence information and Joel Shirlow (Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development) for access to monthly licence information of Western Australia. We thank Fishbrain for access to their global dataset, and Stig Prüssing (The Danish Fishery Agency) for access to the Danish fishing licence sales. Thanks to the Environment Agency for provision of licence sales data for England, and to the Departamento de Registro e Monitoramento de Aquicultura e Pesca (Secretaria de Aquicultura e Pesca) for providing more

recent data on licence purchases for Brazil. CS and CG thank the citizen scientists who provided data for the Danish citizen science platform Fangstjournalen. CG and CS received funding from the Danish Rod and Net Fish Licence funds (project 39122). CS, MSW and the German telephone survey have been cofounded by the European Commission's Data Collection Framework (DCF). WCL and HVS received financial support from the Federal Ministry of Education and Research of Germany in the framework of marEEshift (project no. 01LC1826B). WMP and KH were supported by the One Ocean Hub, an independent programme for collaborative research for development, funded by UK Research and Innovation (UKRI) through the Global Challenges Research Fund (GCRF). All authors contributed to the design of the work, the analysis and interpretation of data, all authors contributed to the drafting of the manuscript and approved the version that was submitted. The views expressed are those of the authors, not their parent organisations, but are supported by the U.S. Geological Survey. Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the US Government.

Data availability Data are available from the authors on reasonable request.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval All data collected from anglers were done so on an informed basis. All angler-based data had been anonymised prior to being received by the authors, with no personal data ever received or used.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

Aas Ø, Cucherousset J, Fleming IA et al (2018) Salmonid stocking in five North Atlantic jurisdictions: identifying drivers and barriers to policy change. Aquat Conserv 28:1451–1464

- Allen T, Olds E, Southwick R et al. (2018) Sportfishing in America: an economic force for conservation. American Sportfishing Association via Multistate Grant #F17AP00082 awarded by the Wildlife and Sport Fish Restoration Programs of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
- Armstrong M, Brown A, Hargreaves J et al (2013) Sea Angling 2012 - a survey of recreational sea angling activity and economic value in England. Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs, UK, 16 pp
- Arlinghaus R, Cooke SJ (2009) Recreational fisheries: Socioeconomic importance, conservation issues and management challenges. In: Dickson B, Hutton J, Adams WM (eds) Recreational hunting, conservation and rural livelihoods: science and practice. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Hoboken, pp 39–58
- Arlinghaus R (2006) Understanding recreational angling participation in Germany: preparing for demographic change. Human Dimen Wild 11:229–240
- Arlinghaus R, Abbott JK, Fenichel EP et al (2019) Opinion: governing the recreational dimension of global fisheries. Proc Nat Acad Sci USA 116:5209–5213
- Arlinghaus R, Lucas J, Weltersbach MS, Kömle D, Winkler HM, Riepe C, Kühn C, Strehlow HV (2021) Niche overlap among anglers, fishers and cormorants and their removals of fish biomass: a case from brackish lagoon ecosystems in the southern Baltic Sea. Fish Res 238:105894
- Balsman DM, Shoup DE (2008) Opportunities for urban fishing: developing urban fishing programs to recruit and retain urban angler. Am Fish Soc Symp 67:31–40
- Barnett A, Abrantes KG, Baker R, Diedrich AS, Farr M, Kuilboer A, Sheaves M (2016) Sport fisheries, conservation and sustainable livelihoods: a multidisciplinary guide to developing best practice. Fish Fish 17:696–713
- Bower SD, Aas Ø, Arlinghaus R, Beard DT, Cowx IG, Danylchuk AJ, Cooke SJ (2020) Knowledge gaps and management priorities for recreational fisheries in the developing world. Rev Fish Sci Aquacult 28:518–535
- Bower SD, Nguyen VM, Danylchuk AJ, Beard Jr TD, Cooke SJ (2014) Inter-sectoral conflict and recreational fisheries of the developing world: opportunities and challenges for co-operation. Enhancing stewardship in small-scale fisheries: practices and perspectives. Too Big To Ignore and CERMES, The University of the West Indies, Cave Hill Campus, Barbados, 88–97
- Brownscombe JW, Danylchuk AJ, Chapman JM, Gutowsky LF, Cooke SJ (2017) Best practices for catch-and-release recreational fisheries–angling tools and tactics. Fish Res 186:693–705
- Butler EC, Childs AR, Saayman A, Potts WM (2020) Can fishing tourism contribute to conservation and Sustainability via ecotourism? A case study of the fishery for giant African threadfin *Polydactylus quadrifilis* on the Kwanza Estuary, Angola. Sustainability 12:4221
- Cooke SJ, Twardek WM, Lennox RJ, Zolderdo AJ, Bower SD, Gutowsky LF, Danylchuk AJ, Arlinghaus R, Beard D (2018) The nexus of fun and nutrition: recreational fishing is also about food. Fish Fish 19:201–224
- Cooke SJ, Dunlop WI, Macclennan D, Power G (2000) Applications and characteristics of angler diary programmes in Ontario, Canada. Fish Man Ecol 7:473–487

- Cooke SJ, Twardek WM, Reid AJ, Lennox RJ, Danylchuk SC, Brownscombe JW, Danylchuk AJ (2019) Searching for responsible and sustainable recreational fisheries in the Anthropocene. J Fish Biol 94:845–856
- Cooke SJ, Venturelli P, Twardek WM, Lennox RJ, Brownscombe JW, Skov C, Hyder K, Suski CD, Diggles BK, Arlinghaus R, Danylchuk AJ (2021) Technological innovations in the recreational fishing sector: implications for fisheries management and policy. Rev Fish Biol Fish 31:253–288
- Danylchuk AJ, Danylchuk SC, Kosiarski A, Cooke SJ, Huskey B (2018) Keepemwet fishing—an emerging social brand for disseminating best practices for catch-and-release in recreational fisheries. Fish Res 205:52–56
- Dedual M, Sague Pla O, Arlinghaus R, Clarke A, Ferter K, Geertz Hansen P, Ueberschär B (2013) Communication between scientists, fishery managers and recreational fishers: lessons learned from a comparative analysis of international case studies. Fish Man Ecol 20:234–246
- Delle Palme CA, Nguyen VM, Gutowsky LF, Cooke SJ (2016) Do fishing education programs effectively transfer 'catchand-release' best practices to youth anglers yielding measurable improvements in fish condition and survival? Know Man Aquat Ecol 417:42
- Drake DAR, Mandrak NE (2014) Ecological risk of live bait fisheries: a new angle on selective fishing. Fisheries 39:201–211
- Elmer LK, Kelly LA, Rivest S, Steell SC, Twardek WM, Danylchuk AJ, Cooke SJ (2017) Angling into the future: ten commandments for recreational fisheries science, management, and stewardship in a good Anthropocene. Env Manag 60:165–175
- Freire KMF, Machado ML, Crepaldi D (2012) Overview of inland recreational fisheries in Brazil. Fisheries 37:484–494
- Freire KMF, Rocha GRA (2021) Baseline on-site information on coastal recreational fishery and comparison with competitive events in Ilhéus, southern Bahia, Brazil. Mar Fish Sci 34:5–19
- Freire KMF, Almeida ZS, Amador JRET et al (2021) Reconstruction of Marine Commercial Landings for the Brazilian Industrial and Artisanal Fisheries From 1950 to 2015. Front Marine Sci. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021. 659110
- Giovos I, Keramidas I, Antoniou C et al (2018) Identifying recreational fisheries in the Mediterranean Sea through social media. Fish Man Ecol 25:287–295
- Gordoa A, Dedeu AL, Boada J (2019) Recreational fishing in Spain: first national estimates of fisher population size, fishing activity and fisher social profile. Fish Res 211:1-12
- Griffiths SP, Bryant J, Raymond HF, Newcombe PA (2017) Quantifying subjective human dimensions of recreational fishing: does good health come to those who bait? Fish Fish 18:171–184
- Guckian ML, Danylchuk AJ, Cooke SJ, Markowitz EM (2018) Peer pressure on the riverbank: assessing catch-andrelease anglers' willingness to sanction others' (bad) behavior. J Environ Manag 219:252–259
- Guerra-Marrero A, Couce-Montero L, Jiménez-Alvarado D, Espino-Ruano A, Núñez-González R, Sarmiento-Lezcano

A, del Pino ÁS, Castro JJ (2021) Preliminary assessment of the impact of COVID-19 Pandemic in the small-scale and recreational fisheries of the Canary Islands. Mar Pol 133:104712

- Gundelund C, Skov C (2021) Changes in angler demography and angling patterns during the COVID-19 lockdown in spring 2020 measured through a citizen science platform. Mar Pol 131:104602
- Gundelund C, Venturelli PA, Hartill BW et al (2021) Evaluation of a citizen science platform for collecting fisheries data from coastal sea trout anglers. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 78(11):1576–1586
- Gundelund C, Arlinghaus R, Birdsong M, Flávio H, Skov C (2022) Investigating angler satisfaction: the relevance of catch, motives and contextual conditions. Fish Res 250:106294
- Hook SA, Brown A, Bell B, Kroese J, Radford Z, Hyder K (2022) The impact of COVID-19 on participation, effort, physical activity, and well-being of sea anglers in the UK. Front Mar Sci 9:815617
- Howarth A, Jeanson AL, Abrams AE et al (2021) COVID-19 restrictions and recreational fisheries in Ontario, Canada: preliminary insights from an online angler survey. Fish Res 240:105961
- Hyder K, Radford Z, Prellezo R et al. (2017) Research for PECH Committee - Marine recreational and semi-subsistence fishing - its value and its impact on fish stocks. European Parliament, Policy Department for Structural and Cohesion Policies, Brussels, Belgium 134 pp
- Hyder K, Brown A, Armstrong M et al. (2020) Participation, catches and economic impact of sea anglers resident in the UK in 2016 and 2017. Lowestoft, UK. Cefas Report 170 pp
- Hyder K, Brown A, Armstrong M, et al. (2021) Participation, effort, and catches of sea anglers resident in the UK in 2018 and 2019. Lowestoft, UK. Cefas Report. 75 pp
- Hyder K, Weltersbach MS, Armstrong M et al (2018) Recreational sea fishing in Europe in a global context participation rates, fishing effort, expenditure, and implications for monitoring and assessment. Fish Fish 19:225–243
- Jarić I, Bellard C, Correia R et al (2021) Invasion culturomics and iEcology. Conserv Biol 35:447–451. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/cobi.13707
- Jarić I, Correia RA, Brook BW et al (2020a) iEcology: harnessing large online resources to generate ecological insights. Trend Ecol Evol 35:630–639
- Jarić I, Roll U, Arlinghaus R et al (2020b) Expanding conservation culturomics and iEcology from terrestrial to aquatic realms. PLoS Biol 18:e3000935
- Jiménez-Alvarado D, Sarmiento-Lezcano A, Guerra-Marrero A et al (2019) Historical photographs of captures of recreational fishers indicate overexploitation of nearshore resources at an oceanic island. J Fish Biol 94:857–864. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.13969
- Jiorle RP, Ahrens RN, Allen MS (2016) Assessing the utility of a smartphone app for recreational fishery catch data. Fisheries 41:758–766
- Johnston FD, Simmons S, Poorten BV, Venturelli P (2022) Comparative analyses with conventional surveys reveal

the potential for an angler app to contribute to recreational fisheries monitoring. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 79:31–46

- Kleinschroth F, Kowarik I (2020) COVID-19 crisis demonstrates the urgent need for urban greenspaces. Front Ecol Environ 18:318. https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.2230
- Koh D (2020) COVID-19 lockdowns throughout the world. Occup Med 70:322–322
- Ladle RJ, Correia RA, Do Y et al (2016) Conservation culturomics. Front Ecol Environ 14:269–275
- Lennox RJ, Sbragaglia V, Vollset KW et al (2022) Digital fisheries data in the Internet age: emerging tools for research and monitoring using online data in recreational fisheries. Fish Fish 23:926–940
- Lewin WC, Weltersbach MS, Haase K, Strehlow HV (2021) Who travels how far: German Baltic sea anglers' travel distances as precondition for fisheries management and coastal spatial planning. Ocean Coast Manag 209:105640
- Lynch AJ, Cooke SJ, Deines AM et al (2016) The social, economic, and environmental importance of inland fish and fisheries. Env Rev 24:115–121
- Mackay M, Jennings S, van Putten EI, Sibly H, Yamazaki S (2018) When push comes to shove in recreational fishing compliance, think 'nudge.' Mar Pol 95:256–266
- Mandel A, Veetil V (2020) The economic cost of COVID lockdowns: an out-of-equilibrium analysis. Econ Disasters Climate Change 4:431–451
- Mannheim SL, Childs AR, Butler EC et al (2018) Working with, not against recreational anglers: evaluating a proenvironmental behavioural strategy for improving catchand-release behaviour. Fish Res 206:44–56
- McClenachan L (2009) Documenting loss of large trophy fish from the Florida Keys with historical photographs. Conserv Biol 23:636–643. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2008.01152.x
- McPhee DP (2017) Urban recreational fisheries in the australian coastal zone: the sustainability challenge. Sustainability 9:422. https://doi.org/10.3390/su9030422
- Michel JB, Shen YK, Aiden AP et al (2011) Quantitative analysis of culture using millions of digitized books. Science 331:176–182. https://doi.org/10.1126/science. 1199644
- Midway SR, Lynch AJ, Peoples BK, Dance M, Caffey R (2021) COVID-19 influences on US recreational angler behavior. PLoS ONE 16:e0254652
- Monkman GG, Kaiser MJ, Hyder K (2018a) Heterogeneous public and local knowledge provides a qualitative indicator of coastal use by marine recreational fishers. J Environ Manag 228:495–505
- Monkman GG, Kaiser MJ, Hyder K (2018b) Text and data mining of social media to map wildlife recreation activity. Biol Conserv 228:89–99
- Nolan ET, Britton JR, Curtin S (2019) Angler behaviors and motivations for exploiting invasive and native predatory fishes by catch-and-release: A case study on the river Severn catchment, Western England. Human Dimen Wild 24:463–479
- Organ JF, Geist V, Mahoney, S P et al. (2012) The North American model of wildlife conservation. The Wildlife Society Technical Review: 12(04)

- Page KS, Radomski P (2006) Compliance with sport fishery regulations in Minnesota as related to regulation awareness. Fisheries 31:166–178
- Papenfuss JT, Phelps N, Fulton D, Venturelli PA (2015) Smartphones reveal angler behavior: a case study of a popular mobile fishing application in Alberta, Canada. Fisheries 40:318–327
- Paradis Y, Bernatchez S, Lapointe D, Cooke SJ (2021) Can you fish in a pandemic? An overview of recreational fishing management policies in North America during the COVID-19 crisis. Fisheries 46:81–85
- Parkkila K, Arlinghaus R, Artell J et al (2010) Methodologies for assessing socio-economic benefits of European inland recreational fisheries. EIFAAC Occas Pap 46:1
- Peterson MN, Nelson MP (2017) Why the North American model of wildlife conservation is problematic for modern wildlife management. Human Dimen Wild 22:43–54
- Pita P, Ainsworth GB, Alba B et al (2021) First assessment of the impacts of COVID-19 pandemic on global marine recreational fisheries. Front Mar Sci 8:735741
- Post JR, Sullivan M, Cox S et al (2002) Canada's recreational fisheries: the invisible collapse? Fisheries 27:6–17
- Potts WM, Downey-Breedt N, Obregon P et al (2020) What constitutes effective governance of recreational fisheries?—A global review. Fish Fish 21:91–103
- Potts WM, Mann-Lang JB, Mann BQ et al (2021) South African marine citizen science–benefits, challenges and future directions. Afr J Mar Sci 43:353–366
- Potts WM, Saayman M, Saayman A et al (2022) Understanding the economic activity generated by recreational fishing in South Africa provides insights on the role of recreational fisheries for social development. Fish Man Ecol 29:29–43
- Reed MS (2008) Stakeholder participation for Env Manage: a literature review. Biol Conserv 141:2417–2431
- Roll U, Jarić I, Jepson P et al (2021) COVID-19 lockdowns increase public interest in urban nature. Front Ecol Environ 19:320. https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.2374
- Ryan KL, Desfosses CJ, Denham AM, Taylor SM, Jackson G (2021) Initial insights on the impact of COVID-19 on boat-based recreational fishing in Western Australia. Mar Pol 132:104646
- Sbragaglia V, Coco S, Correia RA, Coll M, Arlinghaus R (2021) Analyzing publicly available videos about recreational fishing reveals key ecological and social insights: a case study about groupers in the Mediterranean Sea. Sci Tot Env 765:142672. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scito tenv.2020.142672
- Schramm HL, Edwards GB (1994) The perspectives on urban fisheries management: results of a workshop. Fisheries 19:9–15
- Sexton B (2021) Alberta contemplates mandatory angling education and tighter fishing regs. https://www.outdo orcanada.ca/alberta-contemplates-mandatory-anglereducation-and-tighter-fishing-regs/
- Skov C, Berg S, Eigaard OR, Jessen TK, Skov PV (2020) Danish fisheries and aquaculture: past, present, and future. Fisheries 45:33–41
- Skov C, Hyder K, Gundelund C et al (2021) Expert opinion on using angler Smartphone apps to inform marine fisheries management: status, prospects, and needs. ICES J

Mar Sci 78:967–978. https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/ fsaa243

- Strehlow HV, Schultz N, Zimmermann C, Hammer C (2012) Cod catches taken by the German recreational fishery in the western Baltic Sea, 2005–2010: implications for stock assessment and management. ICES J Mar Sci 69:1769–1780
- Tufts BL, Holden J, DeMille M (2015) Benefits arising from sustainable use of North America's fishery resources: economic and conservation impacts of recreational angling. Int J Env Studies 72(5):850–868
- United Nations (2018) 68% of the world population projected to live in urban areas by 2050, says UN. https://www.un. org/development/desa/en/news/population/2018-revis ion-of-world-urbanization-prospects.html. Accessed 29 Mar 2022
- Venturelli PA, Hyder K, Skov C (2017) Angler apps as a source of recreational fisheries data: opportunities,

challenges and proposed standards. Fish Fish 18:578-595

- Von Lukowicz M (1998) Education and training in recreational fishery in Germany. In: Hickley P, Tompkins H (eds) Recreational fisheries: social, economic and management aspects. Fishing News Books, Blackwell Science, Oxford, pp 287–293
- Wilde GR, Pope KL (2013) Worldwide trends in fishing interest indicated by internet search volume. Fish Man Ecol 20:211–222. https://doi.org/10.1111/fme.12009

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.